342-287055-16 Cause No. _____

JANA FOOD SERVICE, INC.	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT			
Plaintiff	§				
	§				
V.	§	TARRANT COUNTY, TEXAS			
	§				
NATIONWIDE AGRIBUSINESS	§				
INSURANCE COMPANY and					
KEVIN DOUGLAS WELCH	§				
Defendants	§	JUDICIAL DISTRICT			

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION & JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff JANA FOOD SERVICE, INC. ("Jana Food" or "Plaintiff") by and through its attorneys, files this Original Petition & Jury Demand against Defendants NATIONWIDE AGRIBUSINESS INSURANCE COMPANY ("Nationwide") and KEVIN DOUGLAS WELCH ("Welch") (collectively "Defendants") and would respectfully show the following:

Discovery Control Plan

1.1 Plaintiff intends to conduct discovery under Level 2 of Texas Rule of Civil Procedure 190.

Parties

- 2.1 Jana Food Service, Inc. is a domestic corporation located in Arlington, Tarrant County, Texas.
- 2.2 Upon information and belief, Defendant Nationwide is a foreign fire and casualty insurance company regularly engaged in the business of insurance in Texas, operating for the purpose of accumulating monetary profit. Nationwide regularly and systematically issues insurance policies in the State of Texas and may be served

by mailing certified mail, return receipt requested to <u>Corporation Service Company</u>, <u>211 E. 7th Street</u>, <u>Suite 620</u>, <u>Austin</u>, <u>Texas 78701</u>.

2.3 Upon information and belief, Kevin Douglas Welch is an individual living and residing in the State of Texas and may be served with process at <u>Kevin Douglas</u> Welch, 1121 Roping Reins Way, Haslet, Texas 76052.

Venue & Jurisdiction

- 3.1 Venue is proper in Tarrant County under Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code Section 15.002(a)(1), as all or a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to this claim occurred in Tarrant County and Plaintiff's property which is the subject of this suit is located in Tarrant County, Texas. In particular, the insurance policy at issue and of which Jana Food is a beneficiary was to be performed in Tarrant County, Texas and the losses under the policy (including payments to be made to Overflow under the policy) were required to be made in Tarrant County, Texas. Further, investigation, including communications to and from Defendants and Jana Food (including telephone calls, mailings, and other communications to Jana Food) occurred in Tarrant County, Texas.
- 3.2 Jana Food seeks damages within the jurisdictional limits of this Court. Jana Food trusts the jury to evaluate the evidence, but at this time, Jana Food seeks monetary relief in an amount over \$1,000,000. Jana Food reserves the right to modify the amount and type of relief sought in the future.

General Allegations

- 4.1 On or before March 17, 2016, Nationwide marketed and sold a commercial insurance policy bearing Policy No. COP138638A to Jana Food whereby Nationwide would provide property insurance for the building located at 2116 Exchange Drive, Arlington, Texas 76011 (the "Property") in exchange for the timely payment of premiums (the "Policy"). The Policy was sold by Nationwide to Plaintiff as the insured under the Policy and provides coverage for the Property resulting from hailstorm.
- 4.2 On or about March 17, 2016, Plaintiff's property was substantially damaged by the severe hailstorm that struck the area. As a result, the roof, exteriors, interiors, business personal property, and other machinery equipment on the Property were substantially damaged. After the storm, Plaintiff filed an insurance claim under the Policy with Nationwide for damages to the Property caused by the hailstorm. Plaintiff asked that the cost of repairs be covered pursuant to the Policy.
- A.3 Nationwide is the insurer on the Property. In response to the claim, Nationwide assigned representatives, adjusters, consultants, and agents to Plaintiff's file that were inadequate and improperly trained. Specifically, Nationwide assigned Plaintiff's claim to Kevin Welch as the local claims representative and adjuster charged with assessing damages under the Policy. Mr. Welch was improperly trained and not equipped to handle this type of claim.
- 4.4 Mr. Welch failed to perform a thorough investigation of the claim. On April 12, 2016, Mr. Welch inspected the Property but failed to complete an adequate

fact that Plaintiff and its representatives pointed out the damages to Mr. Welch. Moreover, Mr. Welch refused to retain appropriate consultants to evaluate the claim. 4.5 Throughout the claims process, Mr. Welch was the only point of contact on Nationwide's behalf yet he continually delayed the claims process and refused to provide answers to the insured. Nationwide relied exclusively on Mr. Welch's substandard investigation in determining what amounts, if any, to pay on Plaintiff's claim and failed to perform its own investigation. As a result of Mr. Welch's haphazard inspection, misrepresentations, and inadequate investigation, Nationwide underpaid Plaintiff's claim. Nationwide and Mr. Welch performed an inadequate, incomplete and unreasonable investigation of Plaintiff's claim, which is evidenced by the undervalued estimate of damages for the necessary repairs for the Property. Even after a second estimate of damages was prepared by Mr. Welch, no

inspection and refused to acknowledge all the damages to the Property, despite the

A.6 Nationwide and Mr. Welch wrongfully denied Plaintiff's claim for property repairs and equipment replacement. Furthermore, Nationwide and Mr. Welch underestimated what damages they did accept during the investigation. Nationwide and Mr. Welch represented to Plaintiff that certain damages were not covered under the Policy when in fact they were. Mr. Welch refused to provide answers to Plaintiff during the claims process, further delaying resolution of Plaintiff's claim in violation of his duties under Texas law. Nationwide and Mr. Welch have chosen to continue to deny timely payment of the damages. As a result, Plaintiff has not been fully paid

further payments were made to Plaintiff.

under the Policy provided by Nationwide since the windstorm. To this day, Nationwide refuses to pay for the necessary repairs to the Property as required under the Policy.

- 4.7 As a result of Defendants' acts and/or omissions, Plaintiff was required to retain an attorney to prosecute its claim for insurance benefits.
- 4.8 Unfortunately, Defendants have delayed payment for Plaintiff's necessary and covered property repairs under the insurance policy that Nationwide wrote. Given the repeated delays of payment, Plaintiff has been subjected to significant economic impact, worry, distress, and continuing economic and physical damage. Because of Defendants' delays, denials, and underpayment, Plaintiff has been unable to make necessary repairs to the Property which has resulted in further damages to the Property, including additional interior damage, among others. In addition, Plaintiff has suffered financial harm and damage as a result of Defendants' denials and repeated delays. The significant effect of Defendants' wrongful and unjustified delays, however, is still uncompensated.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION---Violations of Texas Insurance Code

- 5.1 Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates each allegation contained in Paragraphs 1-4.8 of this Petition as if fully set forth herein.
- 5.2 Nationwide and Mr. Welch failed to attempt to effectuate a prompt, fair, and equitable settlement of a claim with respect to which liability has become reasonably clear, in violation of Texas Insurance Code Section 541.060 (a)(2)(A).

- 5.3 Nationwide and Mr. Welch failed to adopt and implement reasonable standards for prompt investigation of claims arising under its policies.
- 5.4 Nationwide and Mr. Welch failed to provide promptly a reasonable explanation, in relation to the facts or applicable law, for the denial of a claim, in violation of Texas Insurance Code Section 541.060 (a)(3).
- 5.5 Nationwide and Mr. Welch refused to pay a claim without conducting a reasonable investigation with respect to the claim, in violation of Texas Insurance Code Section 541.060 (a)(7).
- 5.6 Nationwide and Mr. Welch misrepresented the insurance policy under which it affords Property coverage to Plaintiff, by making an untrue statement of material fact, in violation of Texas Insurance Code Section 541.061 (1). Nationwide and Mr. Welch misrepresented the insurance policy to Plaintiff, by making an untrue statement of material fact, in violation of Texas Insurance Code Section 541.061 (1).
- 5.7 Nationwide and Mr. Welch misrepresented the insurance policy under which it affords Property coverage to Plaintiff, by failing to state a material fact that is necessary to make other statements made not misleading, in violation of Texas Insurance Code Section 541.061 (2). Nationwide and Mr. Welch misrepresented the insurance policy to Plaintiff by failing to state a material fact that is necessary to make other statements made not misleading, in violation of Texas Insurance Code Section 541.061 (2).
- 5.8 Nationwide and Mr. Welch misrepresented the insurance policy under which it affords Property coverage to Plaintiff, by making a statement in such manner as to

mislead a reasonably prudent person to a false conclusion of material fact, and failing to disclose a matter required by law to be disclosed, in violation of Texas Insurance Code Section 541.061 (3) and Texas Insurance Code Section 541.002 (1). Nationwide and Mr. Welch misrepresented the insurance policy to Plaintiff by making a statement in such manner as to mislead a reasonably prudent person to a false conclusion of material fact, and failing to disclose a matter required by law to be disclosed, in violation of Texas Insurance Code Section 541.061 (3) and Texas Insurance Code Section 541.002 (1).

5.9 Nationwide and Mr. Welch knowingly committed the foregoing acts, with actual knowledge of the falsity, unfairness, or deception of the foregoing acts and practices, in violation of Texas Insurance Code Section 541.002 (1).

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION---Prompt Payment of Claim

- 6.1 Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates each allegation contained in Paragraphs1-5.9 of this Petition as if fully set forth herein.
- 6.2 Nationwide and Mr. Welch failed to acknowledge receipt of the claim in violation of Texas Insurance Code Section 542.055 (a)(1).
- 6.3 Nationwide and Mr. Welch failed to timely commence investigation of the claim or to request from Plaintiff any additional items, statements or forms that Nationwide and Mr. Welch reasonably believe to be required from Plaintiff in violation of Texas Insurance Code Section 542.055 (a)(2)-(3).
- 6.4 Nationwide and Mr. Welch failed to notify Plaintiff in writing of the acceptance or rejection of the claim not later than the 15th business day after receipt

of all items, statements and forms required by Nationwide and Mr. Welch in violation of Texas Insurance Code Section 542.056(a).

6.5 Nationwide and Mr. Welch delayed payment of Plaintiff's claim in violation of Texas Insurance Code Section 542.058(a).

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION---Statutory Interest

- 7.1 Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates each allegation contained in Paragraphs1-6.5 of the Petition as if fully set forth herein.
- 7.2 Plaintiff makes a claim for penalties of 18% statutory interest on the amount of the claims along with reasonable attorneys' fees for violation of Texas Insurance Code Subchapter B pursuant to Texas Insurance Code Section 542.060.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION---Breach of Contract

- 8.1 Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates each allegation contained in Paragraphs1-7.2 of the Petition as if fully set forth herein.
- 8.2 Nationwide breached its contracts with Plaintiff. As a result of Nationwide's breaches, Plaintiff suffered legal damages.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION---Breach of duty of good faith & fair dealing

- 9.1 Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates each allegation contained in Paragraphs1-8.2 of the Petition as if fully set forth herein.
- 9.2 Nationwide, as the property coverage insurer, had a duty to deal fairly and in good faith with Plaintiff in the processing of the claim. Nationwide breached this duty by refusing to properly investigate and effectively denying insurance benefits. Nationwide knew or should have known that there was no reasonable basis for

denying or delaying the required benefits. As a result of Nationwide's breach of these legal duties, Plaintiff suffered legal damages.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION---Punitive Damages for Bad Faith

- 10.1 Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates each allegation contained in Paragraphs 1-9.2 of this Petition as if fully set for herein.
- 10.2 Nationwide and Mr. Welch fraudulently and with malice (as that term is legally defined) denied Plaintiff's claim for benefits. Further, Nationwide and Mr. Welch had actual, subjective awareness of the risk involved, but nevertheless proceeded with conscious indifference to the rights, safety, or welfare of Plaintiff.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION---Violations of Texas DTPA

- 11.1 Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates each allegation contained in Paragraphs1-10.2 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 11.2 The Deceptive Trade Practices-Consumer Protection Act (DTPA) provides additional protections to consumers who are victims of deceptive, improper, or illegal practices. Defendants' violations of the Texas Insurance Code create a cause of action under the DTPA. Defendants' violations of the Texas Insurance Code, as set forth herein, specifically violate the DTPA as well.

KNOWLEDGE

13.1 Each of the actions described herein were done "knowingly" as that term is used in the Texas Insurance Code and were a producing cause of Plaintiff's damages.

RESULTING LEGAL DAMAGES

- 14.1 Plaintiff is entitled to the actual damages resulting from the Defendants' violations of the law. These damages include the consequential damages to its economic welfare from the wrongful denial and delay of benefits; the mental anguish and physical suffering resulting from this wrongful denial of benefits, and continued impact on Plaintiff; lost credit reputation; and the other actual damages permitted by law. In addition, Plaintiff is entitled to exemplary damages.
- 14.2 As a result of Defendants' acts and/or omissions, Plaintiff has sustained damages in excess of the minimum jurisdictional limits of this Court.
- 14.3 Plaintiff is entitled under law to the recovery of prejudgment interest at the maximum legal rate.
- 14.4 Defendants' knowing violations of the Texas Insurance Code and DTPA entitle Plaintiff to the attorneys' fees, treble damages, and other penalties provided by law.
- 14.5 Plaintiff is entitled to statutory interest on the amount of its claim at the rate of 18% per year as damages under the Texas Insurance Code §542.060(a).
- 14.6 Plaintiff is entitled to the recovery of attorneys' fees pursuant to Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code §38.001, the Texas Insurance Code §542.060(a)-(b), the Tex. Bus & Commerce Code §17.50 and Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code §37.009.

Prayer

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff respectfully requests that Plaintiff have judgment against Defendants for actual damages in excess of

the minimum jurisdictional limits of this Court, pre- and post-judgment interest as allowed by law, costs of suit, and all other relief, at law or in equity, to which Plaintiff may be entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

RAIZNER SZANJA LLP

JEFFREY/L/RAIZNER

State Bar No. 00784806

ANDREW P. SLANIA State Bar No. 24056338

AMY BAILEY HARGIS

State Bar No. 24078630

2402 Dunlavy Street

Houston, Texas 77006

Phone: 713.554.9099

Fax: 713.554.9098

Email: efile@raiznerlaw.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury, a right enshrined in the Constitution of the United States of America and the State of Texas and preserved by the sacrifices of many. The necessary jury fee has been paid.

JEFFREY L. RAIZNER

CIVIL CASE INFORMATION SHEET

Cause Number (for clerk use only):	COURT (FOR CLERK USE ONLY):
7	

STYLED Jana Food Service, Inc. v. Nationwide Agribusiness Ins. Co. and Kevin Welch

(e.g., John Smith v. All American Insurance Co; In re Mary Ann Jones; in the Matter of the Estate of George Jackson)

A civil case information sheet must be completed and submitted when an original petition or application is filled to initiate a new civil, family law, probate, or mental health case or when a post-judgment petition for modification or motion for enforcement is filled in a family law case. The information should be the best available at the time of filing.

1. Contact information for per	son completing case info	mation sheet:	Names of parties in	case:		n or cutity completing sheet is:
Name;	Email:		Plaintiff(s)/Petitioner	(s):	★ Attorney for Plaintiff/Petitioner □ Pro Se Plaintiff/Petitioner	
Amy B. Hargis	altargis@raiznerl	law.com	Jana Food Sorvice, luc		☐Title IV-D Agency ☐Other:	
Address:	Telephone;					
2402 Dunlavy Street	713-554-9099				Addition	al Parties in Child Support Case:
City/State/Zip;	Fax:	Defendant(s)/Respon			Custodial Parent:	
Houston, TX 77006	713-554-9098	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	NationWide Ins. Co.	Agribusinos	Non-Custodial Parent:	
Sign ture:	State Bar No:		Kevin Douglas Welch		Presumed Father:	
XMY WX	24078630	 	[Attach additional page as necessary to list all parties]			
2. Indicate cast type, or ridentify		e in the case <i>(select</i>	only 1);		_	17 . *
Contract Debt/Contract Consumer/DTPA Debt/Contract Fraud/Misrepresentation Other Debt/Contract: Insurance Foreclosure Home Equity—Expedited Other Foreclosure Franchise Insurance Landlord/Tenant Non-Competition Partnership Other Contract: Emplayment Discrimination Retaliation Termination Workers' Compensation Other Employment:	Civil Tujury:0r:Dama Assault/Battery Construction Defamation Malpractice Accounting Legal Medical Other Professional Liability: Motor Vehicle Accid Premises Product Liability Asbestos/Silica Other Product Liab List Product: Other Injury or Dama Administrative Appe Antitrust/Unfair Competition Code Violations Poreign Judgment Intellectual Property	ent ent Cor Part Quies Re Expr Judg Non- Seizu Other Ot	inent Domain/ idemnation ition et Title spass to Try Title er Property: lated to Griminal Majters	Marriage Relation	positips:	Post-judgment-Actions (non-Stric-IV-D) Enforcement Modification—Custody Modification—Other Enforcement/Modification Patemity Reciprocals (UIFSA) Support Order
Tax		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Probate & Me	ontal Health	<u></u>	
Tax Appraisal Tax Delinquency Other Tax	Probate/Wills/Intestate Administration □ □Dependent Administration □ □Independent Administration □		Guardianship—Adult Guardianship—Minor Mental Health Other:			
3 Indicate procedure of remedy, Appeal from Municipal or Just Arbitration-related Attachment Bill of Review Certiorari Class Action 4 Indicate damages sought (des) Less than \$100,000, including of the process	otselectifitis a family la damages of any kind, penal poctary relief an \$200,000	Declaratory Judgm Garnishment Interpleader License Mandamus Post-judgment p. case):		Turnove	re Order ation ary Restrai	ning Order/Injunction